

Ensuring safe, secure and efficient drone operations The European Drone and U-space regulatory framework

EBAA Annual Safety Summit 12-13 November 2019 Antonio Marchetto – EASA RPAS Technologies Expert

Your safety is our mission.

An Agency of the European Union

EASA UAS Categories of Operation



Status of EU Regulation for Drones

- → <u>Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/945</u> & <u>Commission Implementing</u> <u>Regulation (EU) 2019/947</u>:
 - \rightarrow Published on 11th of June, 2019
 - \rightarrow Entered into force on 1st July 2019
- → Implementing act (EU) 2019/947
 - → Requirements related to operation and registration for the Open and Specific categories of operation
- → Delegated act (EU) 2019/945
 - → Requirements related to CE marking and technical requirements for drones of the Open category of operation
 - → Requirements for third-country operators



Addressing Air Risk in the Open category



Addressing Air Risk in the Specific category

- → SERA Regulation requires all aircraft, manned and UAS, to "remain well clear from and avoid collisions with' other manned aircraft"
- → A UAS operated in BVLOS is, in general, unable to 'see and avoid' (at least until fully certified cooperative and uncooperative DAA will be available)
 - \rightarrow Need of "alt-moc" for accommodation in non segregated airspace
 - → "Accommodation"
 - "condition when an RPAS can operate along with some level of adaptation or support that compensates for its inability
 to comply within existing operational constructs"
- → As per ED Decision 2019/021/R "AMC and GM to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/947" the methodology to conduct a risk assessment for authorization of operations in the specific category is basically the JARUS SORA
 - → Yields requirements in the form of 24 Operational Safety Objectives (OSO) with robustness level which depends on a Specific Assurance Integrity Level (SAIL) identified by the residual ground and air risk after **mitigations** are applied
 - ightarrow Residual air risk addressed with tactical mitigation means
- $\mathbf{ZEASA} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alt-Moc:}$ mitigations + OSOs

Addressing Air Risk in the Specific category



MA1 Addressing Air Risk in the Specific category



Slide 7

MA1 MARCHETTO Antonio, 10/11/2019



Mandate for U-space regulation

Amsterdam HLC Declaration on Drones 28 November 2018

Invited EC and EASA to develop, as a matter of urgency, an institutional, regulatory and architectural framework for a competitive U-space services market.

Following the HLC Declaration **EASA/EC have set up a working group** composed of experts from Member States, EUROCONTROL & SESARJU.

The pressing deadline imposed

- Imited working group
- focused consultation
- <u>no possibility to wait for</u> <u>future JARUS inputs (if any)</u>

- ➤ <u>7</u> Member States
- EUROCONTROL
- SESARJU
- EASA team



U-space DRAFT Opinion

Note: all the following slides about U-space address the <u>draft</u> Opinion (not the final after consultation)



U-space Concept

- → Airspace structure/volume designated by the MS where U-space services are provided
 - E-identification
 - Geo-awareness
 - Traffic information
 - Flight authorisation
 - Tracking
 - Weather

...



Building blocks

Draft Regulation Status and next steps

- \rightarrow 8 chapters and 28 articles
- → End of Opinion consultation: 30 October 2019
- → Assessment of comments
- \rightarrow Review draft regulation and update draft opinion
- → Internal EASA review (legal + proofreading)
- → Final opinion (Q1 2020)
- \rightarrow AMC/GM to be drafted later in 2020

Consultation Outcome

About 2500 comments from 84 stakeholders





Objective: High-level regulatory framework

- → A **first step** for timely delivery of rules for U-space;
- → Definition of the building blocks;
- → Accommodation of initial operations in the **short term**
- → Means to **mitigate the risk of collisions** by requiring adapted services and sharing traffic information

 \rightarrow based on strategic and pre-tactical de-confliction techniques



Scope of the Regulation – Article 1

- \rightarrow To cover all actors involved in the U-space
 - → Member States / Competent authorities
 - → U-space service providers
 - \rightarrow UAS operators
 - → Manned aircraft operators
- \rightarrow Out of the scope:
 - \rightarrow Toy aircraft
 - → UAS Operations within model aircraft club/associations







The U-space system – general overview

The USSP platform enables the exchange of data and information and connect multiple U-space service providers operating in the same Uspace airspace

Who does what in the U-space system

- → Member States:
 - \rightarrow Designation of U-space as restricted areas
 - → "restricted": in accordance with specified conditions, as per definition of EU regulation on the flexible use of airspace
 - → Designation of the competent authorities to implement the regulation
 - → Definition of mandatory U-space services for each volume of airspace designated as U-space
 - → Establishment of a Common Information Function for each volume of airspace designated as U-space
- → Competent authorities are responsible for certification/oversight of U-space service providers
 - → The Agency shall act as the competent authority for U-space service providers providing U-space services in more than one Member State and for U-space service providers established outside EU and providing services within its territory

Who does what in the U-space system

→ U-space service providers:

- \rightarrow Provide the services
- → Establish and maintain the platform
- Obtain / provide data from / to the Common Information Function
- → Adhere to the open communication protocol
- \rightarrow Provide occurrence reporting
- → Establish service level agreements with UAS operators/other USSP

- → UAS operators:
 - → Establish service level agreements with USSP
 - → Submit a flight plan
 - → Are identified with a unique identifier ("network" E-ident)
 - → Comply with instructions given by USSP
 - → Are connected and exchange information through the platform
 - → Provide access to the registration information

Flight Rules

- → During the consultation, the aviation community expressed the need to develop flight rules adapted to UAS operations
 - → The working group acknowledged that not all provisions of SERA (standardized European Rules of the Air), e.g. VFR and IFR, ATS rules and procedures, loss communication procedures, separation minima, ... can be applicable as such to UAS operations
- → The limited time frame did not allow for the development of amendments to SERA

→Planned as part of upcoming rulemaking activity

→ Some priority rules inserted in the draft opinion

Priority Rules

- When conducting special operations (in the meaning of EU 923/2012) manned aircraft shall have priority over unmanned aircraft;
- 2. When conducting special operations, unmanned aircraft shall have priority over any other air traffic;
- 3. Aircraft carrying passengers shall have priority over aircraft without passengers on board;
- 4. Manned aircraft shall have priority over unmanned aircraft;
- 5. BVLOS operations shall have priority over VLOS operations

Certified category: NPA#1 scope and use of U-space



<u>Operations type #1</u>: IFR operations of certified UAS cargo flying in airspace classes A-C and taking-off and landing at aerodromes under EASA's scope.



<u>Operations type #2</u>: UAS Operations in congested (e.g. urban) or noncongested (e.g. rural) environment using pre-defined routes in volume of airspaces where U-space services are provided. This includes operations of unmanned automation system – based aircraft (ASBA), carrying passengers (e.g. VTOL air taxis) or cargo.



<u>Operations type #3</u>: Operations as in type #2 conducted with manned VTOL, also in airspace where U-space is not available

Which regulations NPA#1 will affect



Counter Drone Action Plan

- \rightarrow EASA C-UAS action plan released at Issue 2 in July 2019 with 5 actions
 - \rightarrow Launched:
 - 1. Geographical zones
 - 2. C-UAS technologies
 - 3. Occurrence reporting
 - \rightarrow Planned to be launched by the end of the year:
 - 4. Roles and responsibilities in case unauthorized drones are detected around ADR
 - 5. Gathering state-of-the-art data relevant to the consequences of a drone collision with manned aircraft

Research on drone collision with aircraft

- Although the new EASA regulatory framework includes measures to address air risk, such risk will still be present, especially considering the growing number of small drones being operated by the general public
 - Airprox related to drones increase year by year and the effect of potential airborne collision between a UAS and a manned aircraft is a concern at all levels



- Additionally, malicious drone use can hardly be prevented with operational restrictions, remote pilot preparation and product requirements
 - The tender for the research project "vulnerability of manned aircraft to drone strikes" (H2020 delegated funds) has been launched on 27.09.2019 to finally reach validated results with regard to the outcome, at local and aircraft level, of collision events
- <u>https://ted.europa.eu/TED/notice/udl?uri=TED:NOTICE:453363-</u> **EAS**A2019:TEXT:EN:HTML







Thank you for your attention



An Agency of the European Union

easa.europa.eu/connect

